

## Sample Questions from Past Qualifying Exams

This list may give the impression that the exams consist of a series of questions fired at the student one after another. In fact most exams have more the character of a conversation with considerable give and take. Hence this list cannot be expected to indicate accurately the difficulties involved.

### Foundations

- Explain to a mathematician what you mean by a sentence (for example, in the language of groups). Explain the syntax and semantics of this language.
- What does the completeness theorem tell us about the sentences in this language? What about arbitrary sets of sentences?
- What does the completeness theorem say about the valid sentences of number theory?
- What can you say about the axiom system  $P$  of number theory?
- What does the undecidability of  $Q$  tell you about the valid sentences in the language of number theory?
- What is meant by a relation definable in the standard model of number theory?
- Are all arithmetical relations  $\Sigma_1$  definable? Why?
- What does the completeness tell a group theorist?
- Let  $\sigma$  be a first order sentence. Suppose a group theorist has proved (in some fashion, not necessarily first order) that  $\sigma$  is true of all torsion free groups. What can you tell him?
- Does the completeness theorem tell us that there is a way for us to decide, given a first order sentence, whether it is true for all group?
- Is ZF decidable? Is the consistency of P decidable in ZF?
- What is Church's thesis? Why can't it be proved?
- What is Beth's Theorem? Sketch a proof.
- Is the complete theory of  $\langle \omega, +, \cdot \rangle$  model complete?
- What is the Compactness Theorem? How is it proved?
- What sets are definable in  $\langle \omega, S \rangle$ ? What do you know about  $\langle \omega, S \rangle$ ? Is it finitely axiomatizable?
- What sets are definable in  $\langle \omega, S \rangle$  in second order logic?
- In what sense is every first order sentence equivalent to a  $\Sigma$  sentence? A  $\forall\exists$  sentence?
  - Does the above require the Axiom of Choice?
- Is the second order theory of  $\langle \omega, S \rangle$  decidable?
- Do you know a *natural* essentially undecidable finitely axiomatizable subtheory of ZF?
- Do you know a syntactical equivalent to model completeness?
- What decidable theories do you know?
- How do you prove Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem?

- Is ZF finitely axiomatizable?
- Is there a theory  $T$  such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a model of  $T$  iff  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite group?
- Is there a theory  $T$  such that a sentence  $\sigma$  holds in  $T$  if and only if  $\sigma$  holds in every finite group?
- What important properties does  $Q$  have? (The theory  $Q$  of Tarski's *Undec. Theories*).
- Prove that if a theory  $T$  has no complete axiomatizable extension, then  $T$  is undecidable.
- Do you know any theories with denumerably enumerable models?
- Give your favorite system of propositional calculus, and show that this system is uniquely readable. Give a procedure for reading formulas.
- Let  $L$  be the language with  $0, s, +, \cdot$ . Let  $T$  be the theory in  $L$  whose only non-logical axiom is  $s_x \cdot s_y = x \cdot y + (x + s_y)$ . Is  $T$  decidable?
- Is there a theory in which the Gödel sentence expressing inconsistency is true, and yet which is consistent? Why?
- Use the Completeness Theorem to prove the existence of non-Archimedean fields.
- State the downward Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem.
- Give an example to show why it is important to have “elementary substructure” and not just “elementary equivalent structure” in the downward Löwenheim-Skolem theorem.
- Define recursive function, recursive set, and recursively enumerable set.
- What is the most important result about representability and what is it used for?
- Is there an undecidable theory with just one mathematical symbol?
- Do you know anything interesting about propositional calculus?
- What is the most general form you know of Gödel's Theorem?
- Name a decidable theory. How do you know it is decidable?
- What is meant by a proof?
- What is meant by a categorical theory? Give an example.
- Can one define  $\forall$  in terms of  $\rightarrow$ ?
- What is the relation between completeness and compactness?
- Is the set of  $\Sigma_1$  sentences true in  $\langle \omega, +, \cdot \rangle$  recursively enumerable? Is it recursive?
- What is the difference between recursive and primitive recursive?
- Give a purely algebraic characterization of  $EC_\Delta$  classes.
- What is an elementary class? [**Silver**]
  - Are the cyclic groups an elementary class?
  - Are the torsion groups an elementary class?

- How do you prove that the theory of algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero is decidable? [**Silver**]
- Why is a complete axiomatizable theory decidable? [**Silver**]
- What is Gödel's Second Incompleteness Theorem? [**Silver**]

## Set Theory

- Are there measurable ordinals?
- Draw a Venn diagram showing recursive, recursively enumerable, co-recursively enumerable, primitive recursive, arithmetical, second order definable sets, and implicitly definable sets. State Beth's Theorem, and show where the following sets fall, and explain why:
  - $\text{Th}(\omega, +)$ ,  $\text{Th}(\omega, S)$ .
  - Sets definable in second order theory of  $\langle \omega, + \rangle$ .
  - $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$ ,  $(\omega, +, \cdot)$ .
  - Universal validities and existential validities
  - Theory of groups; universal sentences of group theory.
  - $\forall\exists$  sentences.
  - $\text{Th}(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$ ,  $\text{Th}(\mathbb{Z}, +\cdot)$ .
- Prove the recursion theorem and Rice's theorem.
- Show that any uncountable well-ordered set has a countable well-ordered subset.
- Define "representable set".